

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

		ALTOHNEY DOCKET NO.	
		EXAMINER	
		EXAMINEN	
		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	INTERVIEW SUMMARY	DATE MAILED:	
Ill participants (applicant, applicant's consequents)			
Ill participants (applicant, applicant's representat	Y.)		
Minaritial Deceration	(3)		
Pate of Interview	(4)		
ype: Talephonic Personal (copy is given			
		esentative).	
xhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	s U No If yes, briet description:		
laim(s) discussed: $14-17$, • $19-30$ entification of prior art discussed:)		
escription of the general nature of what was agre			
	entered as it won		
allow yor consider	ahon; antigenicy	fragments appears to be	
in maller. Wavesory	Ellion to Galla	w.	
ached.)	numents which would render the claims	examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is allowable is available, a summary thereof must be	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a	separate record of the substance of the	e interview.	
liess the paragraph above has been checked to	ndicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WESTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See M	RITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION	

MMhr mille

Examiner Note. You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV 1.98)

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face to face or felephone interview with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) in every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C 132)

§ 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so, it is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two sherit carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and senal register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not-likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- Serial Number of the application
 Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic).
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner erally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the laterview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplicimented by the applicant or the examiner to include fall of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

As a splicte and peoper is congret in all the supertance of any interview, wishered, wouldnot least the following applicable items

- It was not agree uption of the majore of any exhibit shown on any determination conducted
- Deals gentification of the claims giscussing.
- 20 an identification of repedic prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.
- Support identification of the general thrust of the compositive present dito the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elabilities. A verbating or highly detained description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or this addition of the property arguments in one to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may describe emphasize and fully describe those arguments which be too's were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- to a growinghout on it any other pertinent matters discussed, and i
- For appropriate, the general resists or parejone at the interview universual reachbed in the licture w. Sammary Food completed by the exerciser